



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/915,563

07/27/2001

Moshe Weiner

Q64293

7523

7590 08/20/2007  
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC  
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20037-3213

EXAMINER
----------

HERRING, VIRGIL A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2132

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/20/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

MN

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/915,563	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WEINER, MOSHE	
	<b>Examiner</b> Virgil Herring	<b>Art Unit</b> 2132	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 May 2007.  
 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  
 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6, 8-37, 39-43, 45-49, 51 and 52 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-33, 35-37, 43, 45-48, 51 and 52 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6, 8-18, 34, 39-42, 46 and 49 is/are rejected.  
 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to the amendment filed 21 May 2007. Claims 7, 38, 44, and 50 are currently cancelled. Claims 19-33, 35-37, 43, 45-48, 51, and 52 are currently withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1-6, 8-37, 39-43, 45-49, 51, and 52 are currently pending.

#### ***Response to Amendment***

The examiner notes that claim 1 is indicated as "original" in the listing of claims, but has added limitations, indicating that the intended status is "currently amended." Because this error is minor, the claims will be examined as though claim 1 was marked "currently amended". Applicant is requested to file a corrected version of the claims as currently presented in conjunction with any further amendments or arguments in response to this action (i.e. if claims are further amended, applicant should file the claim listing as presented 21 May 2007, with claim 1 marked "currently amended" and a second listing of claims showing any new amendments).

#### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments, see pages 15-18, filed 21 May 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-16 under 35 USC §102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made under 35 USC §103(a) over LaDue (US Pub. #2006/0133423) in view of Boss et al. (US Publication #2002/0115446 A1).

Applicant's arguments filed 21 May 2007 with respect to the rejections of claims 17, 18, 34, 39-42, 46, and 49 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to claims 17 and 18, applicant argued that the DRAM 333b does not receive SMS messages input using a keyboard *and* a free-hand compatible input device. The examiner respectfully disagrees, noting that figure 23 displays the input device (a PDA) with a keyboard 367b and a free-hand input region 368. An inspection of the other figures (particularly figure 4) does not indicate separate memory devices which receive input from the two different input methods, so the logical conclusion must be that the RAM in question receives the messages input via both methods.

With regards to claims 34, 39-42, and 46, applicant argued that LaDue does not include a component which is operable to divide free-hand drawn SMS messages into a plurality of sub-messages. The examiner respectfully disagrees, in light of several sections of LaDue's disclosure, such as ¶¶233-235 and 240-241, which describe the division of messages into packets.

With regards to claim 49, applicant argued that LaDue does not disclose a conversion device to convert a received message into a freehand drawn message. The examiner respectfully disagrees, noting that a received message would be displayed as it is received, and thus dependent on the transmitting device to send the freehand drawn message in an image format.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 17, 18, 34, 39-42 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by LaDue (US Publication #2002/0133423).

With regards to claims 17 and 18, LaDue discloses a GSM (Global System for Mobile Communication) compatible communication device comprising:

a master microprocessor; (see ¶124)

a security identity module operably connected to the master microprocessor through a bus, said security identity module comprising a memory portion and a slave microprocessor; (see ¶124; all SIMs are comprised of a memory device and a microprocessor, because a SIM is simply a smart card, i.e., a removable circuit device comprising a processor and memory)

a buffering device operable to communicate with said master microprocessor and said slave microprocessor; (see figure 4, DRAM 333b);

wherein said buffering device is further operable to receive standard SMS messages input using a keypad on the communication device and free-hand created messages input using a free-hand compatible data entry device. (see ¶86 – the invention deals with reading a standard SMS message from the user

Art Unit: 2132

and transmitting it in a modified way, i.e. the SMS message "received" by the buffering device is standard)

With regards to claims 34, 39-42, and 46, LaDue discloses a communication device comprising:

a data entry device operable to enter a free-hand drawn message; (see figure 23, #368)

a first conversion device operable to convert said free-hand drawn message into a message of a different format; and (inherent; the device must include some method of converting the hand drawn message to a digital format for storage or transmission either internally or externally)

a quantizer operable to divide said free-hand drawn message into a plurality of sub messages. (¶233-235, 240-241; see Arguments)

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaDue (US Pub. #2006/0133423) in view of Boss et al. (US Publication #2002/0115446 A1).

With regards to claims 1-6 and 8-16, LaDue discloses a communication device comprising:

- a master microprocessor; (see ¶124)
- a security identity module operably connected to the master microprocessor through a bus; (see ¶124)

With regards to claim 1, LaDue does not expressly disclose a buffering device operable to communicate with said master microprocessor, wherein said master microprocessor is operable to receive messages from the buffering device or the security identity module, and wherein said buffering device is operably connected to a bus between the master microprocessor and the security identity module. LaDue does include that a microprocessor and SIM are connected by a bus, and also a buffering device (figure 4, DRAM 333b) connected to the microprocessor by a different bus. However, Boss et al. disclose a GSM-compatible telephone in which a microprocessor in the telephone is operable to communicate via a bus with a connected memory (buffering device) and SIM card (see figure 1). LaDue and Boss et al. are analogous art, because both deal with communications on a GSM telephone network. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to include the bus-

connected buffering device and SIM of Boss et al. in the mobile telephone of LaDue using a single bus for all three components. As taught by Boss et al., this would predictably result in a GSM telephone.

### ***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virgil Herring whose telephone number is (571) 272-8189. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.




Art Unit: 2132

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Virgil Herring VH  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2132

VH

  
GILBERTO BARRON JR  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100